

Assessing local climate vulnerability and winegrowers' adaptive processes in the context of climate change

Etienne Neethling^{1, 2}, Théo Petitjean, Gérard Barbeau¹, Hervé Quénol²

¹SDAR-INRA, UAR0581, rue de la Géraudière BP 71627, 44316 Nantes, France ²LETG-COSTEL, UMR 6554 CNRS, Université de Rennes 2, Place du Recteur Henri Le Moal, Rennes, France etienne.neethling@angers.inra.fr

Under the contract number: LIFE13 ENV/FR/001512



Outcome vulnerability assessments



Sequence of successive steps

Contextual vulnerability assessments

• Emerge as a key concept to inform adaptation

- Conducted in a few wine-growing regions
 - E.g. Okanagan Valley (Belliveau et al. 2006) Northern California (Nicholas and Durham 2012) Roussillon and McLaren Vale (Lereboullet et al. 2013)
- More explicitly for the internal and external factors and processes

→ Defines exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity to changing conditions

- Particular importance in the viticulture sector
 - ✓ Wine quality → Unique characteristics of its geographical location, where winegrowers' decision-making play a significant role

Study goals

- 1. Exposure and sensitivity of wine quality to past and current climate conditions
- 2. Changes in viticultural practices over recent decades
- 3. Winegrowers' adaptive responses to climate conditions
- 4. Perceptions and adaptation priorities of winegrowers to potential future climate changes

Anjou-Saumur wine-growing subregion, France



Introduction

Stud<u>y area</u>

Methodology

Results

Conclusion

Coteaux du Layon and Saumur Champigny



AOP COTEAUX DU LAYON

Surface	1400 ha
Soil properties	Shallow slate soils with low to moderate water reserves
Landscape features	Steep to moderate slopes
Grapevine	Chenin
Wine style	Sweet white wine

1	n	tr	\sim	Ч		C	+i	0	n	
J	ш	ιı	υ	u	u	L	ιı	υ	ш	

Conclusion

Data collection and analysis

Participating winegrowers

Each study area → 15 winegrowers (seniority, geographical position, farm size, production strategies, ...)

Semi-structured interviews

- Many open-ended questions → Understanding participants' experiences and opinions on a particular event or topic
 - \checkmark Questions are prepared \rightarrow some may arise naturally during the interview
 - ✓ Prior to fieldwork, pre-test interviews were completed

Exposure and sensitivity of wine quality to climate conditions

- Good coherence in describing wine quality
 - Capacity to recall detailed descriptions of wine quality
 - \rightarrow Structured perceptions of past climate characteristics
- Favorable and unfavorable climate conditions :



Climate-related exposure and sensitivity :

→ Dependent on **many contextual factors** interacting with the regional oceanic climate

Exposure and sensitivity of wine quality to climate conditions

- Good coherence in describing wine quality
 - Capacity to recall detailed descriptions of wine quality

 \rightarrow Structured perceptions of past climate characteristics

Favorable and unfavorable climate conditions :



Changing viticultural practices

- Important changes occurred over recent decades
- 1990s marked a turning point
 - Reconsider practices → Better manage climate-related risks and opportunities

Temporal trends and causal factors identified for vine inter-row management practices



Winegrowers' adaptive responses to climate conditions

- Most adaptive responses occur during harvest and winemaking (i.e., tactical/short-term and reactive)
 - ✓ E.g. Adjusting harvest date (especially for wet ripening periods)
- Impacts of climate variations → not new
- Adaptation has always been a constant challenge faced by winegrowers
 - ✓ Through various learning experiences
 - ✓ Shared knowledge (i.e., practical and scientific)
 - \rightarrow Enhance their adaptive responses
- Adaptive capacity is dynamic → will affect future climaterelated exposure and sensitivity

Winegrowers' adaptive responses to climate conditions

Examples of types of adaptive responses used by winegrowers to manage diverse climate conditions

Adaptive responses	Climatic stimuli	Examples of viticultural practices		
	Cool, wet	More severe leaf, shoot, crop thinning		
	Warm dry	Less severe leaf, shoot thinning		
Tantingl	wann, dry	Foliar nitrogen fertilization		
raactiva	Wet ripening	Several harvests via bunch selection		
reactive	period	Harvesting at night by machine		
	Encat	Requesting crop insurance		
	Flost	Turning on heaters/wind machines		
		Advancing canopy management practices		
	Cool, wet	Allowing natural vegetation to grow		
Tactical		Higher number of fungicide treatments		
anticinatory	Warm dry	Delaying canopy management practices		
ипистриюту	vv arm, ur y	Shallow soil tillage		
	Frost	Delaying winter pruning		
	1105t	Mowing cover crops		
Stratogic	Cool, wet	Longer cane pruning		
roactivo	Warm dry	Changing perennial cover crop species		
Teuclive	vv arm, ur y	Increasing the trellis system height		
Stratogic	Cool, wet	Site selection		
anticinatory	Dry	Choice of rootstock variety		
unne ipaiol y	Frost	Site selection, choice of grapevine variety		

Introduction

Study area

Methodology

Conclusion

Winegrowers' perceptions and adaptation priorities

- Agreed with studies realized in France and Europe (Battaglini et al. 2009; Rochard et al. 2010)
 - Observed regional climate changes and their impacts on vine phenology and grape quality
- Yet, to identify climate change as the main causal factor, stressing that their evolving viticultural practices have played a significant role in improving grapevine behavior and wine quality
- Concerning the persistence and future direction of regional climate changes
 - All winegrowers described a great uncertainty
 - Perceive climate changes to be due to natural decadal variability

Winegrowers' perceptions and adaptation priorities

Based on the responses of winegrowers from Saumur Champigny :

- a) Priority of climate change adaptation strategies
- b) Schematic representation in the short, medium, and long term



Conclusion and perspectives

Importance of contextual knowledge

→Framing vulnerability and understanding its differences across and within wine growing regions

• With a focus on wine quality :

→ Local environmental features and socio-economic aspects are key determining factors of exposure and sensitivity

• As each wine growing region consist of unique contexts :

→ Knowledge and understanding of those contextual factors, and their interaction with the regional climate, will be essential to identify adaptation initiatives

Conclusion and perspectives

 Winegrowers' decision-making is an on-going and dynamic process



Adapted from IPCC, 2007: Relationship between climate change and threshold exceedance, and how adaptation can establish a new critical threshold, reducing vulnerability to climate change

Conclusion and perspectives

- Winegrowers' decision-making is an on-going and dynamic process
- Contextual vulnerability assessment approaches

 \rightarrow Outline deciding factors that assist or constrain the process of autonomous adaptations

E.g. production regulations

Alongside winegrowers' autonomous adaptations
→Need for policy and research to assist winegrowers in planning
adaptation responses to uncertain long-term climate changes

Study area



Thank you for your attention

Under the contract number: LIFE13 ENV/FR/001512



Exposure and sensitivity of wine quality to past and current climate conditions

Wine	Growing	Growing season	Examples of impacts on grapevine		
quality	season	climate characteristics	behavior and wine production		
	1090 1000	Sunny, warm, dry season	Early vine phenology		
Excellent	1909, 1990, 2005, 2010	Rain at the right moment	Regular budburst and bloom		
	2005, 2010	Warm, dry ripening period	Grapes fully ripened		
	1995	Sunny, warm, dry season	Grapes fully ripened		
	1996	Sunny spring, dry season	High sugar, phenolic content		
Very good	1997	Warm, dry ripening period	Grapes fully ripened		
	2011	Dry spring, wet summer	Very early vine phenology		
	2011	Warm, dry ripening period	Grapes fully ripened		
Very good		Very warm summer	Very early vine phenology		
very good	2003	Heatwave	Overripe grapes		
but atypical		Fairly dry season	Atypical wine profile		
	1000 2006	Warm season	High level of grey rot		
	1999, 2000	Wet ripening period	Short harvesting period		
Poor	2000 2012	Wet, cool season	High Downy Mildew outbreaks		
P001	2000, 2012	Wet ripening period	Late vine phenology, unripe grapes		
	2008	Late-spring frost	Late vine phenology		
		Wet, cool season	Low yields, unripe grapes		
	1001	late-spring frost	Very low yields		
Vory poor —	1991	Late-spring nost	Irregular grapevine behavior		
	1994	Wet ripening period	High level of grey rot		
	1992	Wet, cool season	Very high yields, unripe grapes		